Northeast Iceland Development Fund



Evaluation form for







Nr	How well does the following apply?	Ratio	Not at all / 0	To small extent / 1	To some extent / 2	To large extent / 3	Completely / 4
1	The project description is clear and concise. When reading the application, the goals of the project are clear, as well as the expected results.	15%	Project description and reasoning are insufficient. Goals, milestones and/or outcome unclear.	Project description is lacking. Goals are insufficiently defined. Project milestones and/or product are not credible or poorly defined.	Project description is acceptable. Project goals, milestones and/or outcome are somewhat defined.	Project description is quite good. Project goals, milestones and/or outcome are clear.	Project description is clear and concise., Project goals, milestones and/or outcome are clear. When reading the application, the desired results of the project are clear and the project likely to achieve them.
2	The project plan is very clear, well thought out, detailed and credible. Milestones are well defined. The project is very likely to achieve the desired results.	10%	The project plan is insufficient. Information is lacking.	The project plan is rough and rather vague. It is unlikely that the project will be completed according to plan.	The project plan is acceptable but could be clearer and better prepared. Does not address challenges that may arise.	The project plan is clear, well thought out, and challenges that may arise are considered. The project is likely to achieve desired results according to plan.	The project plan is very clear, well thought out, detailed and credible. Milestones are well defined. The project is very likely to achieve the desired results.
3	The budget is clear, detailed, and credible. Funding is likely to come through.	10%	Budget and/or funding is insufficient. Information is lacking.	The budget and funding plan is very rough and therefore lacks credibility.	The budget and funding plan is acceptable but could be better prepared.	The budget is quite clear, and the funding is credible.	The budget is clear, detailed, and credible. Funding is likely to come through.
4	The product of the project brings us closer to the goals of the regional development plan. The project strongly supports positive social impact/value in line with the goals of the regional development plan and the fund's emphases. Argument for the need and value of the project is clear and concise.	20%	The project does not support the goals of the regional development plan nor the fund's emphases.	It is unclear how the project supports the goals of the regional development plan but possibly some positive social impact.	The project supports to some extent the goals of the regional development plan and the fund's emphases as outlined in the application. Project's premise is mostly credible and positive social impact is likely.	The project supports the goals of the regional development plan and the fund's emphases as convincingly outlined in the application. The product of the project brings us closer to the plan's goals, enriches the local community with good likelihood of positive social impact.	The project supports well the goals of the regional development plan and the fund's emphases as convincingly outlined in the application. The product of the project brings us closer to the plan's goals, enriches the regional community with good likelihood of positive social impact.
5	The role of partners is well defined and very likely to have a positive impact. The project promotes important relationships that can lead to benefits for all parties.	10%	Cooperation is non- existent in the project.	Cooperation is negligible and scarcely defined.	Cooperation is somewhat defined and may have a positive effect.	The role of partners is well defined, and the project is likely to create connections and positive synergies.	The role of partners is well defined and very likely to have a positive effect. The project promotes important relationships that can lead to benefits for all parties.
6m	The project is very innovative. A new project/original creation that has a very positive effect on the cultural life of the region.	10%	There is no novelty in the project.	There is very little novelty in the project.	There is some original creation in the project, particularly if for the local community.	The project has some original creation in the project, considerable novelty for the region and/or high regional novelty.	The project is very innovative. A new project/original creation that has a very positive effect on the cultural life of the region.
7m	The emphasis on environmental issues or social diversity is well defined and there is a high probability of positive benefits if awareness is raised.	10%	The emphasis is absent or general and effects unclear.	There is some emphasis but poorly defined and limited positive impact.	The emphasis is defined and the potential for positive effects, particularly looking to the local community.	The emphasis is well defined and positive impact is likely.	The focus is very well defined and there is a high probability of a positive impact.
8m	The project has great impact on the artistic/cultural value of the region.	10%	The project has no impact on the artistic/cultural value of the region.	The project's impact on the artistic/cultural value of the region is unclear.	The project may have some positive impact on the artistic/cultural value of the region, particularly for the local community.	The project is likely to have a positive impact on the artistic/cultural value of the region.	The project has great impact on the artistic/cultural value of the region.

If an application receives 0 points for any of the first three points (marked in red), it is automatically considered unsatisfactory.